

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/642,823	08/19/2003	Meng-Jen Wang	BHT-3183-52	3816	
7590 04/19/2004			EXAMINER		
BRUCE H. TROXELL			CLARK, JASMINE JHIHAN B		
SUITE 1404					
5205 LEESBURG PIKE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22041			2815		

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2004.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No	· K	Applicant(s)					
	10/642,823	O.	WANG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Jasmine J Clark		2815					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	p ars on the cov	rsh et with the	corr spond nce a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, how a lip within the statutory m will apply and will expire. cause the application	vever, may a reply be to inimum of thirty (30) da a SIX (6) MONTHS fro to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered time in the mailing date of this IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·							
——————————————————————————————————————	s action is non-fi							
• =								
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from conside							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been red nts have been red ority documents l au (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Applica nave been recei 2(a)).	ation No ved in this Nationa	al Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail Notice of Informa		ГО-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/19/03</u> .	6)	Other:						

Art Unit: 2815

1

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Baba (US 2003/0209808A1).

Baba teaches a device comprising a package substrate 2 having a top surface, a bottom surface and a concave wall between the top surface and the bottom surface, wherein the concave wall defines a chip accommodation space; a first chip 13 having a first surface and a first back surface, wherein the first active surface of the first chip faces to the bottom surface of the package substrate; at least a second chip 11 having a second active surface, a second back surface and a plurality of side surfaces between the second active surface and the second back surface of the second chip 11, wherein the second active surface of the second chip faces to the first active surface of the first

Art Unit: 2815

chip 12; and an underfilling material 5formed between the first chip 13 and the second

chip 11.

Concerning the limitations in claims 2, 4, 6, and 8-15, please see Fig. 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baba (US2003/0209808 A1).

As per the above discussion, Baba fail to teach wherein the opening is circular or ellipse shape. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have a circular or ellipse shape for the opening, since such modification would have involved a mere change in the size/form of a component. A change in size/form is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Art Unit: 2815

3. Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

the applied reference as applied to claims 1-4, 6, and 8-15 above, and further in view of

McCormick (US 6,369,448 B1).

As per the above discussion, the applied reference fails to teach wherein the first

chip is a logic chip and wherein the second chip is a memory chip. McCormick teach in

column 1, that it was already known to use the logic chip and the memory chip to

increase internal bandwidth and access speeds. Hence, it would have been obvious to

use a logic chip and the memory chip for the reasons as stated by McCormick.

References Cited

4. Similar to the Baba are: Dire et al. (US 6,239,484 B1), Wenzel et al. (US

6,150,724), Yu et al. (US 6,100,593), Kelkar et al. (US 6,084,308), Degani et al. (US

5,869,894), Tsukagoshi et al. (US 5,804,882), Ahmad et al. (US 5,790,384), and Bozso

et al. (US 5,760,478).

Telephone Inquiry Contacts

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jasmine J Clark whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1726. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2815

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jjbc/04/14/04

JASMINE CLAHK PRIMARY EXAMINER